

<b>Filed Electronically</b>		
<b>RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION AND SPECIES ELECTION REQUIREMENTS</b>  Address to: Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450	Docket No.	UCSF-305CON4
	Confirmation No.	4389
	Application No.	10/648,619
	Filing Date	August 25, 2003
	Examiner	Stephen Gucker
	Group Art Unit	1649
	Title	<i>ANTIBODIES THAT MIMIC ACTIONS OF NEUROTROPHINS</i>

Sir:

This communication is submitted in response to the Office Action mailed April 5, 2007. The Office Action set forth a one-month time period for response, making a response due on or before May 5, 2007. Accordingly, this response is timely filed.

#### I. REMARKS

The Office Action set forth a Restriction Requirement and an Election of Species Requirement, as set forth below.

##### Restriction Requirement

The Office Action required election of one of the following groups of claims:

- Group I:        Claims 1-6 and 18;
- Group II:       Claims 7-15;
- Group III:      Claims 16-17;
- Group IV:      Claims 19-20;
- Group V:       Claim 21; and
- Group VI:      Claim 22.

Applicants hereby elect to prosecute the claims of Group II, claims 7-15, with traverse. As stated in the MPEP §803, if search and examination of an entire application can be made without serious burden, the examiner must examine the entire application on the merits, even though the entire application includes claims to independent or distinct inventions. It is Applicants' position that it would not be unduly burdensome to perform a search on all of the claims together in the present application.